

Attachment 1 Stakeholder feedback template

This template has been developed to enable stakeholders to provide their feedback on the proposed service points, zones and, where relevant, pipeline segments for the initial set of facilities that are expected to be subject to the reforms. The template also contains a number of specific questions that AEMO and the GMRG would like feedback on.

AEMO and the GMRG strongly encourage stakeholders to use this template, so they can have due regard to the views expressed by stakeholders on each issue. Stakeholders should not feel obliged to answer each question, but rather address those issues of particular interest or concern. Further context for the questions can be found in the consultation paper.

	Questions	Feedback
Pipelines		
1.	South West Queensland Pipeline: 1. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?	
2.	Roma to Brisbane Pipeline: 2. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments? 3. Do you agree with the proposed specification of delivery zones incorporating STTM custody transfer points? If not, please explain why not. 4. Do you consider that there is likely to be a reasonable level of demand for a backhaul product from the Brisbane STTM given there is no additional supply source at Brisbane? o If not, please explain why not. o If so, do you consider the specification of a backhaul service between the Brisbane STTM nomination point (backhaul receipt point) and RBP Trade Point (backhaul delivery point) is appropriate? If not, please explain why not and	

	Questions	Feedback
	set out what service points you think backhaul services should be available between and why.	
3.	<p>Berwyndale to Wallumbilla Pipeline:</p> <p>5. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
4.	<p>Wallumbilla to Gladstone Pipeline:</p> <p>6. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
5.	<p>Carpentaria Gas Pipeline:</p> <p>7. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
6.	<p>Darling Downs Pipeline:</p> <p>8. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
7.	<p>Spring Gully Pipeline:</p> <p>9. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
8.	<p>Queensland Gas Pipeline:</p> <p>10. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p> <p>11. Do you consider that the receipt points between Wallumbilla and Rolleston should be allocated to a single receipt zone?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If so, please explain what benefits you think would be associated with doing so. ○ If not, please explain why not. 	

	Questions	Feedback
	<p>12. Do you agree with the grouping of all delivery points at Gladstone into a single zone? If not, please explain why not.</p> <p>13. Do you agree with the proposed specification of backhaul receipt and delivery points on the QGP?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If not, please explain why not and set out what service points you think backhaul services should be available between and why. 	
9.	<p>North Queensland Gas Pipeline:</p> <p>14. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
10.	<p>Amadeus Gas Pipeline:</p> <p>15. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
11.	<p>Northern Gas Pipeline:</p> <p>16. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
12.	<p>Moomba to Adelaide Pipeline System:</p> <p>17. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p> <p>18. Do you agree with the proposed specification of the delivery zone incorporating both STTM custom transfer points and non-STTM points? If not, please explain why not.</p> <p>19. Do you consider that the Adelaide Metro notional point should be specified as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ A forward haul receipt point for northern haul services? or 	

	Questions	Feedback
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ A backhaul receipt point (i.e. gas supply at this service point is likely to be an offset to STTM deliveries)? <p>Please provide an explanation for your response.</p>	
13.	<p>Port Campbell to Adelaide Pipeline:</p> <p>20. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p> <p>21. Do you agree with the proposed specification of the delivery zone incorporating both STTM custody transfer points and non-STTM points? If not, please explain why not.</p> <p>22. Do you agree with the proposed specification of the backhaul receipt and backhaul delivery points on the PCA? If not, please explain why not and set out what service points you think backhaul services should be available between and why.</p>	<p>We would like to clarify that the UGS-Receipt-PCA-RZ-01-backhaul delivery point would allow the offsetting of gas injected into the PCA from UGS (Iona)? E.g. a net backhaul of any gas injected into PCA from UGS.</p> <p>We believe that there should also be a UGS Delivery Point in zone 1 (PCA-DZ-1).</p> <p>We note that Epic has specified a PPIMS Injection-receipt-MAPS-RZ-02 point into the MAPS pipeline from PCA (across the PCA-MAPS interconnect). There is not a corresponding delivery point on the PCA, is this captured under the Pelican Point-Delivery-PCA-DZ-03 point?</p>
14.	<p>Port Campbell to Iona Pipeline:</p> <p>23. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p> <p>24. Do you agree with the proposed specification of the delivery zone incorporating DWGM interface points? If not, please explain why not.</p> <p>25. Do you agree with the proposed specification of the backhaul receipt and backhaul delivery points on the PCI? If not, please explain why not and set out what service points you think backhaul services should be available between and why.</p>	
15.	<p>SESA Pipeline:</p> <p>26. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	

	Questions	Feedback
16.	<p>Eastern Gas Pipeline:</p> <p>27. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p> <p>28. Do you agree with the proposed specification of delivery zones incorporating both STTM custody transfer points and non-STTM points? If not, please explain why not.</p> <p>29. Do you agree with the proposed specification of the backhaul receipt and backhaul delivery points on the EGP? If not, please explain why not and set out what service points you think backhaul services should be available between.</p> <p>30. Do you agree that the Wilton EGP service point should be specified as a backhaul receipt point (i.e. to enable gas to be backhauled from Wilton EGP to Longford or other backhaul delivery points)?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If so, please explain what benefits you think there would be in specifying this as a backhaul receipt point. ○ If not, please explain why not. 	<p>We agree with the design specified in the consultation paper.</p>
17.	<p>Moomba to Sydney, Central West and Central Ranges pipelines:</p> <p>31. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p> <p>32. Do you agree with the proposed specification of a delivery zone incorporating both STTM custody transfer points and non-STTM points? If not, please explain why not.</p> <p>33. Do you agree with the proposal to allocate Culcairn South and Uranquinty to the same delivery zone? If not, please explain why not.</p>	<p>We see that there should be a corresponding receipt zone for the delivery zone DZ-04. I.e. there should also be a RZ-04. As an example, Young should be seen as a receipt and a delivery zone.</p>

	Questions	Feedback
18.	Illabo to Tumut Pipeline: 34. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?	
19.	VicHub Pipeline: 35. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?	
20.	Tasmanian Gas Pipeline: 36. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments? 37. Do you agree with the proposal to include the TGP notional park delivery point and the TasHub delivery point in two separate delivery zones? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If so, please explain why. ○ If not, do you think that combining these points into a single zone where capacity available in the DAA for both points would be limited by the CBU capacity at TasHub is appropriate? 38. Do you agree with the proposal to allocate the Port Latta service point and Bridgewater service point to separate zones?	
Compression facilities		
21.	Wallumbilla Compression Facility 1: 39. Do you agree with the proposed service points and zones?	
22.	Wallumbilla Compression Facility 2: 40. Do you agree with the proposed service points and zones?	

	Questions	Feedback
23.	<p>Moomba Compression Facility:</p> <p>41. Do you agree with the proposed service points and zones?</p>	
24.	<p>Ballera Compression Facility:</p> <p>42. Do you agree with the proposed service points and zones?</p>	
25.	<p>Iona Compression Facility:</p> <p>43. Do you agree with the proposed service points and zones?</p>	<p>We agree with the proposed service points but still have concerns about the usefulness of the Iona compression product and its interaction with the DWGM.</p>