

IIR response template for IN002/15W and IN026/15 (New JECs) - Responses to be emailed to grcf@aemo.com.au by 20 October 2020

Review comments submitted by: Red Energy and Lumo Energy Date: 20 October 2020

Contact Person: Stephen White

If your organisation only participates in WA then complete only sections 1A and 2. Section 3 is optional.

If your organisation only participates in east-coast jurisdictions then complete only sections 1B and 2. Section 3 is optional.

If your organisation participates in both WA and east-coast jurisdictions complete sections 1A, 1B (note: if your assessment of the IIR is the same for 1A and 1B then write "as above" in section 1B) and 2. Section 3 is optional.

Section 1A - General Comments on the Impact and Implementation Report for WA (IN002/15W).

| Topic | Please Provide Response Here |
|--|------------------------------|
| Sections 1 to 9 of the IIR sets out details of the proposal. | |
| In respect of the changes proposed for WA, does your organisation supports AEMO's assessment of the proposal? | |
| If no, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes AEMO's assessment (include IIR section reference number) of the proposal and include information that supports your organisation's rationale for not supporting AEMO's assessment. | |

Section 1B - General Comments on the Impact and Implementation Report <u>for east-coast jurisdictions</u>. (INO26/15)

| Торіс | Please Provide Response Here |
|--|--|
| Sections 1 to 9 of the IIR sets out details of the proposal. | Red Energy and Lumo Energy (Red and Lumo) continue to support AEMOs assessment of the proposal to introduce new JECs in line with the IIR published. |
| In respect of the changes proposed in east-coast jurisdictions, does your organisation supports AEMO's assessment of the proposal? | |
| If no, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes AEMO's assessment (include IIR section reference number) of the proposal and include information that supports your organisation's rationale for not supporting AEMO's assessment. | |

Section 1C – Comments on the move to a non-versioned list for JECs (east-coast jurisdictions and WA). (IN002/15W and IN026/15)

| Topic | Please Provide Response Here |
|--|--|
| Section 1.3 of the IIR sets out AEMO's proposal to move JECs to a non-versioned list in the aseXML schema. | Red and Lumo provide in principle support to AEMO to move JEC enumerations to a non-versioned aseXML enumerations list to allow changes in the future without the need for a full schema change. We believe that there needs to be clear controls |
| Assuming that the changes to JECs are adopted, does your organisation support AEMO's proposal to move JECs to a non-versioned list? | around the associated documentation and specifically the need for clear version control across jurisdictions on all documentation. Red and Lumo are concerned that without these clear documentation records the move to a non-versioned list in the aseXML will risk confusion amongst participants on which versions they are expected |
| If no, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes AEMO's assessment (include IIR section reference number) of the proposal and include information that supports your organisation's rationale | to follow. To address this Red and Lumo propose that the current Gas Interface Protocol (GIP) (or jurisdictional equivalent) be updated to provide a single reference point that confirms the current version across all jurisdictions. |
| for not supporting AEMO's assessment. | Red and Lumo also propose that this change be expanded to include version controls for all existing enumerated lists which currently exist. Red and Lumo would support the move to a non-versioned list in the aseXML schema only where there are clear documented controls for all participants to avoid the risks above. |

Section 2 - Feedback on the documentation changes in the Attachments of the IIR.

Please note which references you should provide comment on given your jurisdiction are shown in gold:

| Document | NSW/ACT | QLD | SA | VIC | WA |
|--|---------|-----|----|-----|----|
| Ref # 1 - Participant Build Pack 1 Table of Transactions (JEC tab) | | | | | |
| Ref #2 – Gas Interface Protocol - Victoria | | | | | |
| Ref #3 – Gas Interface Protocol - Queensland | | | | | |
| Ref #4 – NSW/ACT specific Participant Build Pack 5 | | | | | |
| Ref #5 – Gas Interface Protocol – NSW/ACT | | | | | |
| Ref #6 – B2B Service Order Specifications – Part 2 | | | | | |
| Ref #7 – B2B Service Order Specifications - Part 1 | | | | | |
| Ref #8 – AEMO Specification Pack - Specification Pack Usage Guidelines | | | | | |

Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.

Ref # 1 - Participant Build Pack 1 - Table of Transactions, Table of Elements, List of Job Enquiry Codes, Address Elements and MIRN and Meter states.

| Row # Column # in spreadsheet | Issue / Comment | Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert | AEMO Response (AEMO only) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Ref #2 – Gas In | terface Protocol - Victoria | | |
| Section # | Issue / Comment | Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert | AEMO Response (AEMO only) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Ref #3 – Gas In | terface Protocol - Queensland | | |
| Section # | Issue / Comment | Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert | AEMO Response (AEMO only) |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Ref #4 - NSW/ACT specific Participant Build Pack 5

| Section # | Issue / Comment | Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert | AEMO Response (AEMO only) |
|---|--|---|------------------------------|
| 13. Appendix – G (Table of Transactions – Job Enquiry Codes) | NSW COMMENTS & For relevant Enquiry Codes, successful completion will result in the following MIRN and Meter status changes sections in the table incomplete for AML | The table has been listed as Same Comments as AML for NSW Comments and Same a AML for relevant enquiry codes. However even though the comments match the existing responses for AML as they are separate transactions they must clearly list the comments and MIRN and Meter Status changes in each field | |
| | | NSW Comments - Distributor will use the most appropriate method to stop the flow of gas. | |
| | | successful completion will result in the following MIRN and Meter status changes MIRN status = Decommissioned, Meter status = Plugged. Meter physically plugged or locked, | |
| 13. Appendix – G (Table of Transactions – Job Enquiry Codes) | NSW COMMENTS & For relevant Enquiry Codes, successful completion will result in the following MIRN and Meter status changes sections in the table incomplete for DSD | The table has been listed as Same Comments as DSD for NSW Comments and Same as DSD ML relevant enquiry codes. However even though the comments match the existing responses for AML as they are separate transactions they must clearly list the comments and MIRN and Meter Status changes in each field | |
| | | NSW Comments - Distributor will have operational choice to endeavour to stop gas from flowing, via whatever means applicable up to total disconnection. If multiple delivery points (multiple MIRNs) connected to a service, expect a not complete Service Order response, advising why the job could not be completed. | |
| | | NOTE: In order to resume supply after a successful DSD, a new connection request will need to be initiated. | |
| | | successful completion will result in the following MIRN and Meter status changes MIRN status = Deregistered, Meter Status = No Meter | |
| 13. Appendix – G (Table of Transactions – Job Enquiry Codes) | NSW COMMENTS & For relevant Enquiry Codes, successful completion will result in the following MIRN and Meter status changes sections in the table incomplete for MRM | The table has been listed as Same Comments as DSD for NSW Comments and Same as DSD ML relevant enquiry codes. However even though the comments match the existing responses for AML as they are separate transactions they must clearly list the comments and MIRN and Meter Status changes in each field | |
| | | NSW Comments -MRM is accepted to remove a meter, where there is more than one meter at the MIRN. | |
| | | If there is only one meter onsite, will result in Closed Not complete, and say SDR required. | |

| | | NOTE 1: A SDR MUST accompany the MRM in this case. The matched pair of SDR/MRM must be issued on the same calendar day. Otherwise, the DB will not complete the request and send a Service Order | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| | | Response with a Job Completion Code that no matched MRM/SDR was provided in the special comments. | | | |
| | | successful completion will result in the following MIRN and Meter status changesMIRN Status = Commissioned, Meter Status (for the removed meter) = No meter. Meter is physically removed from premises. | | | |
| Ref #5 – Gas In | terface Protocol – NSW/ACT | | | | |
| Section # | Issue / Comment | Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert | AEMO Response (AEMO only) | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Ref #6 – B2B Se | ervice Order Specifications – Part 2 | | | | |
| Section # | Issue / Comment | Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert | AEMO Response (AEMO only) | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Ref #7 – B2B Se | Ref #7 – B2B Service Order Specifications – Part 1 | | | | |
| Row # Column # in spreadsheet | Issue / Comment | Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert | AEMO Response (AEMO only) | | |
| | | | | | |

| Ref #8 – AEMO Specification Pack- Specification Pack Usage Guidelines | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| KCI II O - ALI | vio specification rack- specificati | ion rack osage datachnes | |
| Section # | Issue / Comment | Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert | AEMO Response (AEMO only) |
| | | Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and | AEMO Response (AEMO only) |

Section 3¹ – Additional feedback that is not part of this consultation but warrants further investigations / discussions.

| Topic | Please Provide Response Here |
|--|------------------------------|
| Does your organisation have any feedback / suggestions that closely relate to the scope or impacts this consultation, but the nature of the feedback / suggestion warrant further investigations / discussion? If so, please included your feedback / suggestions. | |

¹ Note - This feedback will be reviewed by AEMO at a later date, therefore will not be used for this consultation. AEMO will complete a preliminary assessment of the feedback assess the feedback and it may then form part of another consultation or the annual prioritisation process