B2B Procedures
- Customer and Site Details (version change)
- Service Order
- Meter Data
- One Way Notification
- Technical Delivery Specification

CONSULTATION – Draft Report

CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: *Red Energy and Lumo Energy*

Completion Date: *11/03/2020*

Table of Contents

1. Example Submission (Please delete this section)

General Instructions

1. *Please keep information in the clause numbers simple - eg no titles, comments etc. – put titles and text in the comment section.*

2. *Please use a individual row for each comment on any each clauses.*

3. *Old clauses only needed if there is no equivalent clause within the revised draft procedures.*

4. *If an obligation exists in another instrument please identify the instrument and clause to assist in including guidance notes.*

5. *Please only include comments either with suggested changes, issues or support. Please do not include 'No Comment'.*

6. *See example below (please note the "comments" are sample only, they bear no relevance to the proposed changes):*

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
	1.42(a)	2.15(a)	Service Order response Change response list from varchar(250) to an enumerated list
	1.42(a)	2.15(a)	Suggest add 'Other' as part of enumerated list and add free text to support other
		2.25(a)(ii)	Table 5 "Description of use" should be reworded to "Description of typical use"
		3.6(a)	The MDP SLP (c 3.5.2) requires the meter serial ID to be provided. Suggest the MeterSerialID be added to the transaction.
		3.6(a)	Ensure MeterserialID is the same field used in other procedures
		2.15	Ensure character length for MeterSerialID matches MSATS field length

2. Service Order Process

Metering Service Works

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Red Energy and Lumo Energy (Red and Lumo)		4.1.1 Metering Service Works	Red Energy and Lumo Energy (Red and Lumo) agree that there is benefit in adding specific transactional fields to move away from special notes or P2P transactions. It is important though to ensure that the purpose of the additional fields is well articulated in the Service Order process so as to not create confusion (ie: *Scheduled Date, Customer Preferred Date And Time, Customer Agreed Start Date, Customer Agreed End Date*)

Supply Abolishment

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Red and Lumo		4.1.2 Supply Abolishment	Red and Lumo support the changes proposed in this section and as per the IEC's conclusion.

Allocate NMI

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Red and Lumo		4.1.3 Allocate NMI	Red and Lumo support the changes proposed in this section and as per the IEC's conclusion.

3. One Way Notification Process

Reference No	Question No	Respondent Name	Comments	IEC Response
4.2 One Way Notification changes	1	Red and Lumo	Red and Lumo support the use of PIN to replace MXN. We believe the use of PIN, which currently indicates a planned interruption, would avoid any potential confusion over the use of MFIN which currently indicates a fault/issue. In either case, the use of PIN or MFIN would need to have clearly defined subtypes to identify the purpose of the notification being sent/received, as well as a complete rewording of the definition & purpose in the One Way Notification procedures to mitigate any potential misinterpretation.	

4. Increase to transaction size limit for Meter Data

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Red and Lumo		4.3 Increase to transaction size limit for Meter Data	Red and Lumo support the changes proposed in this section and as per the IEC's conclusion.