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# Example Submission (Please delete this section)

## General Instructions

1. *Please keep information in the clause numbers simple - eg no titles, comments etc. – put titles and text in the comment section.*
2. *Please use a individual row for each comment on any each clauses.*
3. *Old clauses only needed if there is no equivalent clause within the revised draft procedures.*
4. *If an obligation exists in another instrument please identify the instrument and clause to assist in including guidance notes.*
5. *Please only include comments either with suggested changes, issues or support. Please do not include ‘No Comment’.*
6. *See example below (please note the “comments” are sample only, they bear no relevance to the proposed changes):*

| Old Clause No | New Clause No | Comments |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1.42(a) | 2.15(a) | Service Order response  Change response list from varchar(250) to an enumerated list |
| 1.42(a) | 2.15(a) | Suggest add ‘Other’ as part of enumerated list and add free text to support other |
|  | 2.25(a)(ii) | Table 5  “Description of use” should be reworded to “Description of typical use” |
|  | 3.6(a) | The MDP SLP (c 3.5.2) requires the meter serial ID to be provided.  Suggest the MeterSerialID be added to the transaction. |
|  | 3.6(a) | Ensure MeterserialID is the same field used in other procedures |
|  | 2.15 | Ensure character length for MeterSerialID matches MSATS field length |

# Issues Paper Questions

| Topic | Question | Comments |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2.1 Enhanced Coincident Service Order Logic using Single Notified Party or Two Service Orders | Question 1: What is your preferred solution, Option 1a or Option 1b, and why? |  |
| 2.1 Enhanced Coincident Service Order Logic using Single Notified Party or Two Service Orders | Question 2: Have you already implemented one of the proposed options? What would be your expected incremental costs to deliver each of the proposed solutions? This should not include costs already spent. |  |
| 2.1 Enhanced Coincident Service Order Logic using Single Notified Party or Two Service Orders | Question 3: These proposed solutions will not provide 100% coverage for every service order requested. Do you believe that Option 1a or Option 1b provides better protection for customers? To what extent do you believe that your chosen option better protects customers? |  |
| 2.1 Enhanced Coincident Service Order Logic using Single Notified Party or Two Service Orders | Question 4: What is the extent of the customer impact for each of the proposed solution? How long will a customer be without supply when each proposed solution does not provide coverage (that is, how long does it take to rectify the negative impact to the customer)? |  |
| 2.1 Enhanced Coincident Service Order Logic using Single Notified Party or Two Service Orders | Question 5: Assuming that Option 1a or Option 1b is to be implemented by May 2023, do you see any substantial or significant issues which would delay this implementation? If so, what are they? |  |
| 2.3 Shared Fuse Notification using One Way Notification (OWN) | Question 6: Do you support the proposed changes with regards to Shared Fuse Notification using the aseXML OWN? (Answer should be one of “Yes” / “No – provide reason” / “Other – provide reason”) |  |
| 2.3 Shared Fuse Notification using One Way Notification (OWN) | Question 7: If the changes proposed were to be adopted, would your organisation have any issues in implementing the changes by May 2023? |  |
| 2.9 Questions on proposed changes | Question 8: Do you have any other suggestions, comments or questions regarding this consultation? If you have any comments outside of the scope of this consultation, please reach out to your relevant B2B-WG representatives. |  |

# Service Order Process – Option 1a

| Old Clause No | New Clause No | Comments |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Service Order Process – Option 1b

| Old Clause No | New Clause No | Comments |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# One Way Notification

| Old Clause No | New Clause No | Comments |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Technical Delivery Specification

| Old Clause No | New Clause No | Comments |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# B2B Guide – Option 1a

| Old Clause No | New Clause No | Comments |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# B2B Guide – Option 1b

| Old Clause No | New Clause No | Comments |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |