

STANDALONE POWER SYSTEMS

PROCEDURE CONSULTATION

PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: Jemena Electricity Networks

Submission Date: 6 April 2022

Table of Contents

- 1. Context 3
- 2. Questions..... 3
- 3. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter..... 4

1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback about the options detailed in the issues paper associated with the Standalone Power Systems consultation.

The changes being proposed are because of NER rule changes which have occurred requiring changes to AEMO's Retail Electricity Market Procedures.

2. Questions

Section	Description	Participant Comments
3.3.2	Are there other advantages/disadvantages of any of the options that AEMO should have considered?	<p>Jemena has assessed the three options and is happy to share the following view on each of the options:</p> <p>Option 2 (preferred option) – is our preferred option. This option is considered the most cost-effective and quickest to implement for our business.</p> <p>Option 3 – is our second choice. However, the implementation cost of this option for Jemena would be high. Jemena is not expecting to deploy many (if any) SAPS in the near future to justify the cost of this option.</p> <p>Option 1 – is our least preferred. It is the most complex of the three options, and the cost to implement this option is high for Jemena.</p>
3.3.2	Is there another option for identifying a SAPS NMI that AEMO should consider? Why?	Jemena has not identified any other option.

Section	Description	Participant Comments
3.3.2	Which of the three options for identifying a SAPS NMI do you prefer and why?	Option 2 is our preferred option. It is the most cost-effective option for Jemena, considering the low volume of SAPS we expected to be dealing with in the market.

3. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter

Participant Comments
Jemena has not identified any other issues.