

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to express my concern about the Gencost document and the downplaying of the cost of the energy transition.

I am particularly concerned about the following:

1. The concept of “sunk cost” to simply ignore huge chunks of capital spending. The Australian citizen will end up paying for this one way or another.
2. Nuclear costs are extremely pessimistic. Despite over 20 countries at COP28 pledging to triple their nuclear energy generation. What do they know that we don't?
3. The lack of transparency about the costs to integrate renewables into the grid, particularly transmission and storage costs.
4. Future battery costs are extremely optimistic. Are you aware China controls the battery supply chain and that they are rumoured to want to invade Taiwan?

The Gencost document is being used by politicians to justify massive subsidies and investments in renewables while the existing system is allowed to atrophy.

It is crucial that the cost of the energy transition is fully and transparently investigated.

The current Gencost document is simply not good enough. It is being used to hide the true cost of the energy transition.

Australia is being misled into rushing the energy transition while China and India get strong and prosperous using increasing quantities of coal and gas.

Regards

George Dobson-Brown

Lead Process Engineer



6 Bradford Street | Kewdale WA 6105

w www.voltpower.com.au

Volt Power Group Limited